Session 5 SAP MaxDB: Data Integrity I Automated and Manual Checks

Thorsten Zielke, SAP AG Roland Mallmann, SAP AG 26.01.2010



Agenda



	8
 1. Data integrity with SAP MaxDB B*Tree structure and Data Cache Automated checks Checks during Backup, Recovery and 'Check Data' 'Check Data' variants Best procedures for ensuring data integrity 	30 minutes
 2. Live Demo Perform a Backup Recreate an Index Run Check Data Discover errors 	15 minutes
3. Questions & Answers	15 minutes

Preface: About SAP MaxDBs B*Tree structure



All SAP MaxDB Database objects are stored in Pages of 8 KB size.

All relational data (tables, indices...) is kept in ,B*Tree'-structures consisting of many pages linked to each other via references (pointers).



• **Root Page Level**: This is the entry point for each data search (descending via index level to reach the leaf level).

• Index Page Level: Collection of pointers to Leaf Page level below (do not confuse with database indices)

• Leaf Page Level: This layer stores the application data; Upper levels only supply pointers to reach the matching data.

• On data access the relevant pages are requested in the Data Cache. If a page is not found in cache, it first has to be read from the proper volume.

There are many automated checks performed while a page is beeing accessed in Data Cache.

What is checked ,in Data Cache' during normal database operation





Automated Checks during page access:

• 'Move-error'-Check: Is the data on a page beeing copied in a valid way?

• Several plausibility checks on page access: 'Page-Number'-Check, 'Page-Type'-Check, 'Header-Trailer'-Check, 'Checksum'-Check, 'Bottom Value'-Check.

• Converter Check: Is the requested page address on data or log volume in a valid area or out of bounds?

We have a lot of useful automated checks in place, but here we can only check pages that are actually requested during normal business operation and...
...only perform single page level checks, but not verify complete B*Tree objects.

• we need to check all data including B*Tree integrity

Further actions that lead to page level checks



Backup vs. ,Recover Check' vs. ,Check Data'-Variants



Operation	Performed Integrity Checks	Pros	Cons
Backup	 Accesses all pages that are 'marked for backup' and writes them to backup medium. Performs the following checks on page access: Page- Number, Header-Trailer, Page- Type, Checksum 	 ,free' check on top of usual backup cycle. no additional performance impact 	 We have to rely on the I/O system to judge if the write call was ok. Only page level checks, but no B*Tree analysis like following pointers to neighbour pages.
Recover Check	 Uses own service database reading each page to /dev/null Performs the following checks on page access: same as above plus 'total page count'. 	 Verifies backups without needing a full DB instance. no data cache used 	 Only page level checks, but no B*Tree analysis like following pointers to neighbour pages. Possible I/O impact
Check Data Variants	 Offers various checks ranging from complete database structure to single tables/indexes. Thorough B*Tree checks 	 Complete B*Tree consistency check (neighbours, root page) Extended page level check also verifies ,key-order' on page. 	 possible performance impact (depending on check variant: I/O and/or partial table locks).

What makes Check Data so important



Operation	Do not rely on only Backups and/or ,Recover Checks'!					
Backup	 A successful backup cannot substitute a complete data check! regular check data operations are a requirement to ensure data integrity. 					
Recover Check	• A successful 'recover check' cannot substitute a complete data check!	• regular check data operations are a requirement to ensure data integrity.				

What you need Check Data for:

• Not all page corruptions can be detected by backup or recoveries.

 Imagine your database is corrupt and you have never performed a check data – which backup could you trust for recovery? In a worst case scenario, all of your available backups would include the page defect!

• If all available backups include the page defect, you will likely have lost some of your data.

Database State	Available Checks		
	Check Data		
	Check Table		
DB_Online	Check Index (since SAP MaxDB 7.8)		
	Check Data on Snapshot (work in progress: may or may not come as part of a future SAP MaxDB version e.g. 7.9; also deletes unreferenced page entries)		
	Check Catalog (for internal use by SAP MaxDB support)		
DB_Admin	Check Data (also deletes unreferenced page entries)		

'Check ... [extended]' -> keyword currently obsolete

This option was implemented to test a new 'Ascending-Key-Order Check' which has become part of any default check since SAP MaxDB 7.6.01.00 and 7.7.01.04.

DB_Online – Check Data

Database State	Check Type				
		Checks Tables Indexes LOBs (History Interna	ecks all data objects ables ndexes (can be excluded to save runtime) .OBs (Binary Large Object Files e.g. a ,picture'-type file) listory Pages nternal structures like e.g. Catalog entries, Filedirectory Pages		
		Usage	db_execute check data [except index]		
DB_Online	Check Data	Pros	 Verifies all database objects Faster than 'db_admin'-check as data is possibly read from Data Cache (benefit depends on ratio Data Cache size versus total data size) 		
		Cons	 The I/O load will restrict the systems usability and the check sets partial table locks SAP recommends to limit this to check to off duty hours or when there is scarce user activity Possibly long runtime, because all data is checked. Reads from Cache if posible, but may be corrupt on disk. 		

SAP

© 2010 SAP AG. All rights reserved. / Page 9

DB_Online – Check Table

Database State	Check Type			
		 Checks a single table Tables only A table's LOB-entries (Binary Large Object Files e.g. a ,picture'- type file) are only verified if additional ,long'-option is set 		
		Usage	db_execute check table <tablename>[with long check]</tablename>	
DB_Online	Check Table	Pros	 Fast because affects only a single table (especially if run without 'long'-check as then only the base table is read). Less interference with normal database operation (partial table locks are set on a single table only). Less impact on Data Cache content, because fewer pages are to be read and less existing pages flushed out. 	
		Cons		 a partial table lock can still lead to a significant performance impact, if this table gets many updates during normal business operation. only the 'long'-check option checks the integrity of the whole object; a table with defective longs is still unusable

SAP

Database State	Check Type				
DB_Online Check Index		Checks • indexe	Checks an index (since SAP MaxDB 7.8) indexes only		
		Usage	db_execute check index <indexname></indexname>		
	Check Index	Pros	 Fast because only affects one single index. Almost no interference with normal database operation (apart from I/O usage). Less impact on Data Cache content, because fewer pages are to be read and less existing pages flushed out. 		
		Cons	 Only checks the index structure but not if it is consistent to the base table content Can only check one index and not all indexes belonging to the same table 		

SAP

DB_Online – Check Data on Snapshot

Database State	Check Type				
		Checks may or n • Tables • LOBs • History • Interna	 Checks all data using Snapshot technology (work in progress: nay or may not come as part of a future SAP MaxDB version e.g. 7.9) Tables & Indexes LOBs (Binary Large Object Files e.g. a ,picture'-type file) History Data Internal structures like e.g. Catalog entries, Filedirectory Pages 		
		Usage	db_execute check data on snapshot		
DB_Online	Check Data on Snapshot	Pros	 Verifies all objects and removes unreferenced pages No table locking issues, because working on Snapshot. Runs with low I/O priority in background to minimize performance impact. 		
		Cons	 Long runtime Might need considerable free disk space, if existing data is frequently changed while Snapshot is active. If e.g. a data recovery is to be checked before applying all logs, an 'admin' check data is required, because after DB state 'online' the logs would not fit any more. 		

SAF

DB_Online – Check Catalog

Database State	Check Type				
		Checks plausit for SA	the database catalog oility check for all catalog entries P internal use, no need to run regularly		
		Usage	Usagedb_execute check catalog [with update]		
DB_Online	Check Catalog	Pros	• unless the 'page level' catalog check executed as part of a 'check data', this check specifically evaluates the catalog content for consistency.		
		Cons	 Developer knowledge needed for interpreting error output. 		

SAP

DB_Admin – Check Data

Database State	Check Type	Check Type				
		Checks Tables Indexes LOBs (History Interna	ecks all data objects ables idexes (can be excluded to save runtime) OBs (Binary Large Object Files e.g. a ,picture'-type file) listory Pages internal structures like e.g. Catalog entries, Filedirectory Pages			
		Usage	db_execute check data with update			
DB_Admin	Check Data	Pros	 Reads all database objects from disk No interference with other task/users activity Only this check (and check data on Snapshots) will remove unreferenced pages (e.g. DB is shut down with asynchronous 'drop table' still in progress) freeing up disk space. 			
		Cons	 Requires downtime Even this check cannot remove 'long' filedirectory entries that are unreferenced to the normal filedirectory 			

SAP

Best Procedures to Ensure Data Integrity



Small Databases		Large Da	tabases
Best Practice	Check Data (on Weekends)		Run usual backup cycle
	Run usual Backup cycle	Best Practice	Recover to Test-/Devsystem
	Verify Backup with 'Recover Check' via Service DB		Run Check Data on Test-/Devsystem
Pros	 All checks can be run on production. No dependency between Check Data and Backup 	Pros	 Check Data verifies the whole chain from Production to Backup to Recovery in one single run. Highest Data safety
Cons	 Backup/Recovery not verified with Check Data, therefore Backup may contain undetected errors. Possible performance impact on production. 	Cons	 Requires a certain infrastructure size (target system big enough to handle the backup from production) Target system gets refreshed on a regular basis (might interefer with other tasks that system is used for).

Do's and Dont's:

 \checkmark Do keep your backups in a different location than the source system, at least do not store it on the same server.

✓ Do (at least occasionally) check if you can rebuild a valid system with your backups.

✓ Do mistrust your backup medium, even if it was proven to be ok - it may still have become corrupt later due to external factors.

 \checkmark Do not rely on one single backup set - always have more than one backup generation of data backups, so that you can chose the next good backup, if your latest is faulty.

✓ Do pay attention to keep your log backups going back in time as far as the data backup you want to be able to revert back to in case of disaster. You may need it.

Further References



If you have SAP OSS access, do not miss these FAQ notes:

- SAP note 940420 'FAQ: Database structure check (verify)
- SAP note 846890 'FAQ: SAP MaxDB Administration'





© 2010 SAP AG. All rights reserved. / Page 18



📧 Backup of Database 10.29	0.14.132:EXPERTDB	and the state	of the state	anatoma di			
Complete Data Backu	p						
Backup successfully comple	eted.						
(10.29.14.132:EXPE	RTDB Data:	<mark>64,58 %</mark>	Log: 🚺	0,04 5	% Sessions:	2,00 %	
Summary Results							
Label	Date	Result	Transferred	Left	Medium	Device/File	
✓ □ DAT_00000018	20.01.2010 15:39:39	ОК	151,06 MB	0,00 MB	Backup Thorsten Test	c:\sdb\bac	
•			1	1		Þ	
151,06 MB transferred.							
The backup was successfully completed.							nplete
						раскир	returns ok
							_
0						<u>C</u> lose	
			_				





,<pno>.bad' files located in MaxDBs rundirectory and send them to DevSupport before recreating the index

© 2010 SAP AG. All rights reserved. / Page 21



	S.									
	🔁 10.29	9.14.132:EXP	ERTDB DB	ADMIN		(Auto Commit: Off, S	QL Mode	e: Internal, Isola	tion Level: Rea	d I
	<u>S</u> chema <u>T</u> able Na <u>I</u> ndex Na	Name:							-	-
		Schema Name	Table Name	Index N	Vame	File ID	Туре	Create Date	Create Time	Ir
	1	HOTEL	CITY	CITY_S	TATE	000000000000527		2009-11-11	10:36:06	
Right Click o	on inde	ex				Disable Enable				
and rebuild	via					Delete				H
.recreate inc	lex'.			\rightarrow		Recreate Index				
						Reset Usage				
						Details				
					S.	Refresh	F5			\square
					Ð	Copy Cells				
						Copy Rows	Ctrl+C			
			d03	8010		Columns				







Check Database Structure 10.29.14.132:EXPERTDB	x
Structural Consistency Check Choose "Start" to check the database structure	
10.29.14.132:EXPERTDB Data: 64,61 % Log: 0,04 % Sessions: 10,00	%
Summary Results Database: 10.29.14.132:EXPERTDB Version: 7.7.06.16 Run Directory Path: C:\sdb\data\wrk\EXPERTDB Type: Check the complete database structure Except Indexes: NO 	Start the ,check data' job. This will run for some time
You have completed the steps required to check the database structure. Choose "Start". (?) <	

SQL *SQL Editor 2	
8 🛛	
ঝ 10.29.14.132:EXPERTDB ONLINE Data: 64,69 % Log: 0,04 % Sessions: 10,00 %	
Show Line Numbers Search from selection check database - 🤑 🕆 🔲 Case Sensitive	
Connect req. (EXPERTDB, T63, connection obj. 0x7F9F6870, Node:'BERN00176467A.dhcp.ber.sap.corp', PI): 3308)
Start check database	
Check data on database object failed, KNL_BASE_ERROR=system_error, ROOT=74507, _FILE=vbd38.cpp, _LINE=35	53
DESCRIPTION:	
An error occurred while checking the structure of the database object with Root '74507'.	
Check data on database object failed, KNL_BASE_ERROR=system_error, ROOT=104280, _FILE=vbd38.cpp, _LINE=	353
DESCRIPTION:	
An error occurred while checking the structure of the database object with Root '104280'.	4
Check database progress report: 1931 of about 19313 pages checked Have a look into	d the
Connect req. (EXPERTDB, T61, connection obj. 0x7F9F6960, Node:'BERN00176467A.dhcp, Database, PI	b: 1112)
Connection released (EXPERTDB, T61, connection obj. 7F9F6960) Messages' file (0
Connect req. (EXPERTDB, T61, connection obj. 0x7F9F6960, Node: 'BERN00176467A.dhcp.der.sap.corpib_PI	$\frac{1}{1}$
Connection released (EXPERTDB, T61, connection obj. 7F9F6960)	спеск
Check database progress report: 3862 of about 19313 pages checked data progress	
Check database progress report: 5793 of about 19313 pages checked	
Check database progress report: 7724 of about 19313 pages checked	
Check data on database object failed, KNL BASE ERROR=system error, ROOT=104282, FILE=vbd38.cpp, LINE=	353
DESCRIPTION:	
An error occurred while checking the structure of the database object with Root '104282'.	
Check database progress report: 9655 of about 19313 pages checked	
Start LOB checking	
Check database progress report: 11586 of about 19313 pages checked	
Check database progress report: 13517 of about 19313 pages checked	





,check data' has failed although the complete data backup did not return any error!

select * from roots where root = 74507 -> CITY

Determine the affected objects

Error as logged in the 'Database Errors' file (summary version):

An error occurred while checking the table structure with the FileID '0000000000002D8' or Root '104280'.

bd01CheckFile failed, Error code 6433 "system_error"

Damaged data record found,DETECTEDCORRUPTION=invalid record length resp. bottom value,PERSISTENT_TYPE=perm,FILETYPE=table,PAGENO=104280,_FILE=vbd31.cpp,_LINE=2738

DESCRIPTION:

While checking the data page with pagenumber '104280' a serious flaw was detected in at least one data record. The faulty database object has type 'table' and persistence type 'perm'.

This kind of error can be lead back to problems outside of the database software, for example the IO system and must not be ignored! The faulty data page has been written into the run directory of the database for a possible necessary detailed analysis by the development support.

-> Effect on table data: Update/Delete/Insert might corrupt data, because of incorrect record length value





select * from roots where root = 74507 -> RESERVATION

Determine the affected objects

Error as logged in the 'Database Errors' file (summary version):

An error occurred while checking the table structure with the FileID '0000000000002DF' or Root '74507'.

bd01CheckFile failed, Error code 6433 "system_error"

Damaged data record found, RECORD_POSITION=129,RECORD_INDEX=1,DETECTEDCORRUPTION=invalid key order,PERSISTENT_TYPE=perm,FILETYPE=table,PAGENO=105811,_FILE=vbd31.cpp,_LINE=2705

DESCRIPTION:

While checking the data page with pagenumber '105811' a serious flaw was detected in at least one data record. The faulty database object has type 'table' and persistence type 'perm'.

This kind of error can be lead back to problems outside of the database software, for example the IO system and must not be ignored! The faulty data page has been written into the run directory of the database for a possible necessary detailed analysis by the development support.

Damaged data page is referenced by data page with page number '74507',RECORD_POSITION=81,RECORD_INDEX=0,PAGENO=105811

DESCRIPTION:

The damaged data page with page number '105811' ("child") is referenced by the data page with the page number '74507' ("parent").

For a possibly needed detailed analysis from development support the parent data page was written to the run directory of the database.

-> Effect on table data: the first two table rows are now inaccessible

Conclusion:

The damaged index can be easily recreated.

The damaged tables can be fixed by either deleting parts of the table causing data loss or by performing a full recovery.

Best strategy: Perform a recovery using a backup taken **before** the last successful ,check data' run.